

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Public Comment
4. Chairman's and Governing Board Members' Comments
5. Executive Officer's Report
6. Deputy Attorney General's Report
7. 2004 Accomplishments
8. FY 05/06 Proposed Budget
9. Acceptance of \$240,000 from City of San Diego / State of California Settlement
10. Outreach to San Diego Legislative Delegation
11. Outreach to Key Partners / Major Land Owners, Planning Authorities and Other Key Stakeholders
12. Proposed Interagency Agreement with State Coastal Conservancy
13. Cultural Resources: San Diego Mission Waterworks
14. Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR / Preservation of San Diego Mission Waterworks
15. Helix Water District Presentation
16. SDSU 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision, Draft EIR / Proposed Adobe Falls Development
17. Proposition 50: Integrated Regional Water Management Program
18. FY 06 Federal Appropriation Request
19. San Diego River Watershed Management Plan, Final Draft
20. TransNet Mitigation Funds: Process and Availability
21. Administrative Matters
22. Executive Session
23. Upcoming Events
24. Arrangements for Next Meeting and Adjournment
25. News Articles of Interest
26. Governing Board Member Roster
27. San Diego River Conservancy Act
28. SDRC Resolution 04-06: Delegation of Authority of EO

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: 1

SUBJECT: **ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **2**

SUBJECT: **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

PURPOSE: The minutes of the February 11, 2005 Board Meeting are attached for your review.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve minutes.

San Diego River Conservancy (SDRC)
MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 11, 2005 PUBLIC MEETING
(Draft Minutes for Approval April 8, 2005)

Chairman Murphy called the February 11, 2005 meeting of San Diego River Conservancy to order at 9:16 AM.

1. Roll Call

Members Present:

Dick Murphy, Chairman (*Mayor of San Diego*)
Donna Frye, Vice-Chair (*City Council of San Diego*)
Jim Bartell (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*)
Jim Peugh (*Public at Large, Appointed by Senate*)
Toni Atkins (*Public at Large, Appointed by Assembly*)

Non-Voting Members Present:

Mike McCann (*San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Designee*)

Others Present:

Deborah Jayne, Executive Officer
Jamee Jordan Patterson, Deputy Attorney General -Alternate
Hayley Peterson, Deputy Attorney General

Absent:

David Harper (*Director of Finance Designee*)
Dr. Susan Hector (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*)
John "Jack" H. Minan (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*)
Sam Schuchat (*Secretary of Resources Agency Alternate Designee*)
Karen Scarborough (*Secretary of Resources Agency Designee*)
Al Wright (*Executive Director, Wildlife Conservation Board*)

2. Approval of Minutes

Vice-Chair Donna Frye moved approval of the minutes of the December 3, 2004 public meeting with a correction of a typographical error in the spelling of "Conservancy" on page 3. The motion was seconded by Board Member Toni Atkins and adopted by a voice vote of 5-0.

3. Public Comment

Any person may address the Governing Board at this time regarding any matter within the Board's authority which is not on the agenda. Submission of information in writing is encouraged. Presentations will be limited to three minutes for individuals and five minutes for representatives of organizations. Presentation times may be reduced depending on the number of speakers.

There were three public commentors.

Deborah Jones, Executive Director of Lakeside's River Park Conservancy, provided the SDRC with copies of Lakeside's River Park Conceptual Plan.

Mark Weston, General Manager for the Helix Water District, issued an invitation to the SDRC Board and staff to a field trip to the mid-part of the watershed, including a visit to Cedar Creek Falls sometime in late March / early April.

Bill White, Director of the California History and Culture Conservancy, commented on the historical and cultural resources located along the River, particularly the 1000 feet of the mission flume which still exists near the end of Tierrasanta Blvd. Mr. White distributed three graphics of the area and urged the SDRC to protect these valuable resources.

4. Chairman's and Governing Board Members' Comments

These items are for Board discussion only and the Board will take no formal action.

There were no comments.

5. Executive Officer's Report (Deborah Jayne)

This item is for Board discussion only and the Board will take no formal action. The following topics may be included on the Executive Officer's Report:

- a) Executive Officer Activities
- b) California Performance Review
- c) GIS Maps/ Database for SDRC Jurisdiction
- d) Board Member Terms of Office
- e) Board Member Stipends
- f) Board Member Travel Reimbursements
- g) Status of Securing Remaining Prop 40 funding
- h) SDRC Building Signage

Deborah Jayne introduced the Board to the Conservancy's new Executive Assistant, Susan Huntington, and gave instructions to Board Members on how to submit travel reimbursement and stipend requests.

The remainder of the report was postponed in the interest of time.

6. Deputy Attorney General's Report – Training on Bagley-Keene Act (Hayley Peterson)

This item is for Board discussion only and the Board will take no formal action. The Following topics will be included in the Deputy Attorney General's Report:

- a) Conflict of Interest Code/ Statements of Economic Interest (Form 700)

- b) Attorney Generals' Coverage of SDRC Meetings
- c) Training on Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act

Hayley Peterson explained the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) Form 700 filing requirements. She instructed the Board Members to return the forms to Deborah Jayne by March 28, 2005 so that they can be sent to the FPPC by the April 1st filing deadline.

Ms. Peterson introduced Jamee Jordan Patterson who will be filling in as the Conservancy's liaison with the California Attorney General's office while Hayley is out on maternity leave.

Following completion of the other agenda items, Ms. Peterson gave a brief training presentation on the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. A quick reference guide was provided for the Board Member's convenience.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

7. Proposed Interagency Agreement with State Coastal Conservancy

Consideration and possible action on proposed Interagency Agreement between San Diego River Conservancy and State Coastal Conservancy (SCC) for \$221,000 (total amount of SDRC's reappropriated Fiscal Year 03/04 Support Budget). The purpose of the Interagency Agreement is to (1) reimburse SCC for ongoing administrative support; (2) allow SCC to contract with others for services required by SDRC (e.g., strategic planning, consultation, etc.); (3) safeguard balance of reappropriated funds remaining after June 30, 2005 and make available to SDRC until June 2007; (4) reimburse SCC for potential non-administrative support. The Executive Officer is seeking the Board's authorization to execute the Interagency Agreement. (Deborah Jayne)

This item was postponed to a future meeting.

8. SDRC 2005 Draft Workplan

Consideration and possible adoption of SDRC's 2005 Draft Workplan. The Executive Officer will present a brief overview of the Draft Workplan. (Deborah Jayne)

Deborah Jayne provided a brief review of the 2005 Draft Workplan. Chairman Murphy explained that it is necessary to set goals and objectives to achieve in 2005 so that the success of the Conservancy can be measured at the end of the year.

Board Member Atkins mentioned that Caltrans is starting to move very quickly to sell its surplus property. The Conservancy should make sure that it has a contact at Caltrans to ensure that we are not missing out on land acquisition opportunities. Board Member Bartell mentioned that MTS also has several surplus parcels located along the Trolley line.

Board Members discussed the need to receive a presentation from SANDAG on the TransNet Mitigation Funds, including general funding priorities and more specifically where nexus points exist along the River which may qualify for TransNet funding. Chairman Murphy suggested that a presentation from SANDAG be included on the next Board Meeting agenda.

Board Member Bartell mentioned that #1 of the Draft Workplan (development of the Five-Year Infrastructure Plan) is the most critical point because it defines and drives the goals and priorities of the Conservancy. He asked that this item be considered of highest priority.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye moved to adopt the workplan with the two additions suggested by Board Member Peugh. (Additions: Item #4 should read: “Encumber remaining Proposition 40 funds to (1) support City of San Diego’s AND ALL OTHER JURISDICTION’S priority acquisitions; Item # 9: add PADRE DAM to the list of Key Partners.) The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and adopted by a voice vote of 5-0.

9. Grantville Redevelopment Project

Consideration and possible action on the City of San Diego’s proposed Grantville Redevelopment Project. Tracy Reed (Community and Economic Development, City of San Diego) will provide an overview of the City’s proposed Grantville Redevelopment Project, much of which surrounds the San Diego River (as it flows approximately parallel to Mission Gorge Road). (Tracy Reed)

A verbatim account of the Board members comments on this item is attached at the end of this document.

Tracy Reed (Project Manager, City of San Diego Redevelopment Agency) presented an overview of the Grantville Redevelopment Project.

Board Member Jim Peugh mentioned he was surprised to see that there is no objective related to making the River function better hydrologically. He suggested looking for property acquisitions in places where the River needs to be expanded or for properties that are constantly at risk of flooding.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye said that the Conservancy comments should focus on any inconsistencies between the Grantville EIR and the San Diego River Park Master Plan, the SDRC’s enabling documents or any goals, programs, etc. of this particular Board’s mission.

Specifically, Vice-Chair Donna Frye expressed concern about building in the floodplain and encouraging more industrial or residential uses in areas that are already prone to flooding. She also expressed concern that the San Diego River Park Master Plan was held up at the City level. She worries that the SDRP Master Plan was pulled from the City Council docket and modified to facilitate the

Grantville Redevelopment Project. Vice-Chair Donna Frye expressed the need to make all such modifications in a public forum.

Board Member Jim Bartell would like the Executive Officer to look at the area south of Friars Road for a potential restoration project. Chairman Murphy repeatedly emphasized that the Grantville Redevelopment project has tremendous acquisition opportunities and open space easement opportunities for the Conservancy and urged staff to follow this project carefully.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye indicated that because the issues are complex, to allow the Conservancy to submit meaningful comments on the Draft EIR, a minimum 30-day extension of the public comment period should be granted.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye made a motion to accept the report from the Executive Officer, with the request for an extension to allow adequate time to comment on the EIR and the consistencies with the San Diego River Park Master Plan. The motion was seconded by Toni Atkins (?) and adopted by a voice vote of 5-0.

10. San Diego River Watershed Management Plan, Final Draft

Consideration and possible action on the San Diego River Watershed Management Plan, Final Draft. Mark Carpenter (KTU+A) and Elizabeth Giffen (Department of Planning & Land Use, County of San Diego) will present an overview of the draft plan. *(Mark Carpenter, Elizabeth Giffen)*

This item was postponed to a future meeting.

INFORMATION ITEMS

11. San Diego River Wetland Creation Project Information

Attached is a follow-up report from Rich Grunow (Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Diego) which (1) provides an update on the San Diego River Wetland Creation Project; and (2) addresses the SDRC's concerns regarding a potential San Diego River Park trail on the south side of the River. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. *(Rich Grunow)*

Rich Grunow updated the Board on the status of the San Diego River Wetland Creation Project. Based on the concern expressed by the SDRC Board at its February meeting, Mr. Grunow sent the project back for redesign. The new design will accommodate a future Class 1 bicycle trail along the southern boundary of the mitigation site and north of Camino Del Rio North. A graphic was distributed which illustrates the design changes for future trail development. The redesign will not affect the mitigation project.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye suggested that this project and the temporary "River Garden" project be processed together because the River Garden can serve as part

of the erosion control for the Wetland Project and therefore could be identified as a mitigation measure in the environmental documents. Mr. Grunow said he would be pleased to convey this idea to the City's Developmental Services Department.

Vice-Chair Donna Frye moved to accept the Executive Officer's Summary Report and presentation. The motion was seconded by Board member Toni Atkins and passed unanimously by a voice vote of 5-0.

12. Current FY 04/05 Budget Information

Attached is an overview of the Conservancy's current Fiscal Year 04/05 Budget. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. (Deborah Jayne)

Items 12 and 13 were discussed together. Please see below.

13. Proposed FY 05/06 Budget Information

Attached is an overview of the Conservancy's proposed Fiscal Year 05/06 Budget. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. (Deborah Jayne)

Items 12 and 13 were discussed together. Deborah Jayne provided a brief overview of the current agency budget situation. Chairman Murphy and Board Member Bartell noted that the Board needs to take an official action to adopt the FY 05/06 Support Budget and an official action to encumber the FY 03/04 reappropriated funds. The Board asked the Executive Officer to submit a report on the anticipated operating expenses and expenditures for FY 05/06 for its adoption, including the encumbrance of the reappropriated funds.

Board Member Bartell moved to accept the Executive Officer's Summary Report for items 12 and 13. The motion was seconded by Board member Toni Atkins and passed unanimously by a voice vote of 5-0.

14. Strategic Plan / Five-Year Infrastructure Plan Information

Attached is a general overview of the proposed purpose and content of the Conservancy's Strategic Plan / Five-Year Infrastructure Plan. Also included is a brief update on potential contract assistance. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. (Deborah Jayne)

Vice-Chair Donna Frye moved to accept the Executive Officer's Summary Report. The motion was seconded by Board Member Toni Atkins and adopted by a voice vote of 5-0.

15. Eight Grant Pre-Proposals Information

Attached is an overview of the eight grant pre-proposals recently submitted on behalf of the Conservancy. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. *(Deborah Jayne)*

Vice-Chair Donna Frye moved to accept the Executive Officer's Summary Report. The motion was seconded by Board Member Jim Bartell and adopted by a voice vote of 5-0.

16. SDRC 2004 Accomplishments Information

Attached is a report highlighting the Conservancy's accomplishments for 2004. No formal Board action is recommended; this item is provided for information. Discussion of this item may be requested by a member of the Board or public. *(Deborah Jayne)*

This item was postponed to a future meeting.

17. Administrative Matters

This item is for minor administrative matters only and the Board will take no formal action.

There were no administrative matters.

18. Executive Session

Following or any time during the meeting, the Governing Board may recess or adjourn to closed session to consider pending or potential litigation; property negotiations; or personnel-related matters. Authority: Government Code Section 11126(a), (c) (7), or (e).

There was no executive session.

19. A) Arrangements for Next Meeting and Adjournment

Friday, April 8, 2005

9:00 am to 11:30 am

Location: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Office
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, California
(858) 467-2733

B) San Diego River Day – Riverfest 2005

Saturday, May 21, 2005

Mark Your Calendars!

Chairman Murphy adjourned the meeting at approximately 11:30am.

II. Verbatim Public Comments By Governing Board Members

The following comments on the Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft Program Environmental Impact Report were made by the Governing Board Members of the San Diego River Conservancy at their public meeting on February 11, 2005. Yellow highlighting has been added to emphasize key sentences.

Jim Peugh, Board Member:

I noticed that you mentioned that there is some flooding in the area and I noticed in the objectives that there is a number 13 “Support habitat conservation and restoration” but there is nothing that I noticed in the objectives or in your talk about what to do about the hydrologic problems. The fact that you have flooding in the area now where you are going to invest more money into it and you know and the approach well you could do it in a number of ways. One is to say well we will just rip out all vegetation from the river down stream so it will flow faster. Or you can say we’ll just build a big concrete channel so the water will flow faster. But all of those are really destructive and, you know, we have all learned that. It seems like there should be some discussion of public investment that is needed to make the river serve the area better. The more that we invest money both private and public around rivers really we should be making them bigger because the risk of them flooding is a lot more than it was previously when the river was surrounding with ag fields but unfortunately we do just the opposite because the land is valuable we keep making the mistake of making the river smaller and smaller. I guess I am just a little surprised to see that there is no objective that has to do with making the river function better hydrologically so that your developments won’t be put at risk. And from my point of view, of course, that the wildlife won’t be put at risk.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

I mean, that is the input we are looking for. We have been working on the Five year Implementation Plan and putting creek restoration... And that is kind of some of the input I am trying to get regarding the River. Alvarado Creek I have gotten pretty good experience on that one- that you have some parts improved and then unimproved parts. The unimproved part is actually where the curve is in it so that is where you typically get your overflow problems into the neighborhood. But that is some of the input we are looking for is that we went with general terms and can get more specific on some of what those issues that we need to look at.

Jim Peugh, Board Member:

I would hope that you would be looking at property acquisition for places that the river needs to be expanded or for properties that are constantly at risk of flooding so they could be converted to some other use that flooding wouldn’t be a problem for. But I didn’t see any of that here or in your presentation so I was a little surprised.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

I just want to say that this is a classic example of they channelized up stream and they didn’t channelize down stream and so the water races like a super highway through the channelized concrete channel and then where they don’t have it channelized it

floods. Talk about poor planning. The solution is to rip out the concrete not to channelize the whole thing.

Jim Peugh, Board Member:

In some cases, you actually have to acquire property that has been filled in the past. And that takes public investment. I would hope that would be addressed in this project.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

There was a big effort in the 80s to channelize the whole thing because of the flooding but many of us didn't feel like that was the right solution. But the problem is that the flooding has continued. The ultimate better solution is to dechannelize Alvarado Creek, but it is expensive and it is hard to achieve.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

One of the issues is to discuss the existing land uses that you are showing on the survey map. Because this particular document isn't actually changing any of the land uses, because the purpose of this is to make sure that whatever you do in the Redevelopment Area is consistent with the community plans, right.

Tracy Reed:

Correct. That is what the other map was. You can see the difference.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

I am trying to see where there is any park, where the color is for park.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

Right now along that part of the river, there isn't any. The only real parks in the area are a little league field here, you have the parks up in here, and have some parks which are part of Mission Trails Park up here. And the community plan talks about this whole area here becoming a business tech park and having different improvements. The Navajo Community Plan talks about River improvements all through in here. But like most community plans it doesn't have any implementation methods or financing plan for that.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

And you had mentioned something, I think in your presentation, about inconsistencies within the community plans depending on which side of the river they were on.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

Right, what it is, is you have got this boundary right here is the boundary of the Tierrasanta Community Plan with the Navajo Community Plan. And the Tierrasanta Plan talks about this area becoming open space if they are able to purchase it and if not, it would revert to residential which is what is adjacent to it. The Navajo Plan identifies this as all future industrial park. So what would happen technically is that if this didn't become open space you could have residential next to an industrial park in

those two areas. I was thought that the boundary was the River, but it is not. It is actually halfway across on that side. And that may be why how it came about was when “what was county and what wasn’t at that time that maybe the Tierrasanta part was in the City and the other part wasn’t at that time. That may make sense of why you have it split that way.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

And so the middle portion of that is specifically designated or the plans are to use that area as Industrial Area.

Tracy Reed Redevelopment Agency:

That’s right. But it also talks about open space and improving the River. It talks about all of it. And it talks about doing a precise plan, in the Navajo Community Plan, doing a precise plan for that there is no circulation element in that portion.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

Ok. I guess this would be my concern. Because once again I am not real clear on what specific action it is to provide input that Deborah is supposed to make comments to the EIR. I am assuming that is the action.

Deborah Jayne, Executive Officer:

Yes. That is the action. For you to hear the report and then accept it. And then I will document the comments to the Redevelopment Agency.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

So I guess in the process of reviewing, with that purpose in mind, the environmental documents the things to look for would be any inconsistencies with the San Diego River Master Plan, and inconsistencies with the enabling documents, or goals/programs, etc with this particular board's duties. And what it is we are trying to accomplish. It would be to look for those inconsistencies and to point out those inconsistencies or to comment on where there are omissions. Such as the areas in flooding. That type of discussion. As well as the core principle that Mr. Peugh is talking about is that when we established the enabling legislation, I believe part of that was to make sure we didn’t channelize the river. The way it was set up was to make sure we restored the river, not tried to control the river. There was pretty specific language about that. In order to do that, we probably want to look at what the plans are to build in the flood plain, because if most of those lands are located in areas where its continually flooding, it seems awfully strange to me that you would then want to encourage more industrial uses in areas that are already prone to flooding or residential uses in areas that are already prone to flooding.

The other thing that I am concerned about and part of this was a city issue, was the fact that The San Diego River Master Plan what we had looked at here at the Conservancy was held up at the city level to have comments made related to the Grantville Redevelopment Project. My concern, which I expressed when we originally had the meeting, was to make sure the Master Plan was not modified to

reflect changes in order to facilitate Grantville Redevelopment. If there are changes made to that plan, that plan would have to go back out to the public who had already approved it on the basis that they didn't know that there was going to be more changes made. I do not know if more changes have been made, but I have very serious concerns that there will be. And that the purpose of holding up the actually San Diego River Park Master Plan was to accommodate the changes that were going to be made in this Grantville Redevelopment Project. So if there have been, then I would say that that document has to be recirculated. Because that to me is not the purpose to modify it outside the public process. And Councilmember Madaffer and I had a go around on this, and I made my point very clear and I tried to make it very clear at that meeting that I didn't think it was an appropriate action to be taking or ways that you go about dealing with the plan that affects all portions of the River.

Those would be my comments.

Jim Bartell, Board Member:

One area that interests me is the area south of Friars Road

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

Pretty much Subarea A?

Jim Bartell, Board Member:

Where the industrial area is there. I imagine that it sits right on the floodplain area; it butts right up against the pond area.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

You mean in this portion here?

Jim Bartell, Board Member:

I thought I saw in the community plan that was designated as open space?

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

Yeah. You could see the lighter brown area is what the community plan designates as open space.

Jim Bartell, Board Member:

That would be one area that I would like to have Deborah look into for a potential project for this group for restoration. That is designated as open space and it is consistent with the community plan. And there is currently blighted industrial up against that that I would imagine is causing runoff issues and pollution issues it might be an area that we would want to take a look at more closely.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

I haven't watch this as closely, you know the last year as perhaps Donna and Jim have, but I sort of have a long history with this. The Navajo Plan was adopted when I

was the City Council person (which is always dangerous to say, because I am sure there is something in there that I now regret, but anyways...)

Deborah, **this is just an enormous opportunity for us.** As Jim Bartell points out, the area there, south of Friars Rd, in which there is an equipment lay down yard right next to the River and that Industrial Area opens to the River that is one of our listed acquisition possibilities. Is that the **Denton Sand Sites?** It is a **tremendous acquisition opportunity for us** and then all the way up the River to Mission trails Park is designated open space as part of this redevelopment project there is this great opportunity for us to through redevelopment in that are to acquire the land and we need for the park. As I look around at all the opportunities that are going on right now, Deborah, **this has got to be at the very top.** One that you and everybody else are interested in. **Really, really needs to watched carefully with a fine tooth comb.** I know Mr. Madaffer and Ms. Frye have had some difference of opinion on this, and since I was a little districted by elections and lawsuits and everything, I didn't really have the time to get into it like I would have liked to, but I am just pointing out that **this is the greatest opportunity area that we have right now and you need to watch it like a hawk.** This has **acquisition opportunities, open space easement opportunities.** When people said that the River as it runs through the City of San Diego is going to be difficult to reclaim and restore, that is a true statement, **there are always this type of opportunity that if we let pass, will make it all that much more difficult.**

What I would say to Tracy is: You have this great opportunity here to take what is a truly blighted area, to say the least, the northern part anyway, and redevelop it. But at the same time, **help make good on our vision of a River Park.**

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

We do talk about the data in the Navajo Plan, and there is actually language in there that says the plan would guide development until the year 2000. So I have always wondered "Does it expire after the year 2000? But one of the main things that is going to be a part of our Five Year Implementation Plan is for the Redevelopment Agency to help with the updating of the community plan for several reasons. But that that community plan definitely needs to be updated for a lot of the items and stuff that has come along since then. But that is one of the things that is going to be built into the Five Year Implementation Plan.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

I am sure those that adopted the plan were quite visionary and were looking toward the year 2020 but I don't think it has expired. But I am sure it could use updating. Other specific comments?

Jim Peugh, Board Member:

It is good to hear that you both know a lot about this. **Do we know that the Redevelopment Plan does not do anything that we are going to regret as far as river restoration and river protection? That is what I am worried about.**

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

You have to ask Donna that question. What I am saying is that I am very familiar with the area. I don't live in the immediate area anymore, but I used to live up at the Northern part of the area, up along Mission Gorge Road. So I drove past that area for 10 years of my life and I know every inch of it very well. But, I haven't lived there for 15 years now.

Donna Frye, Vice Chair:

And the answer to Mr. Peugh's question is No, we don't know that. And that is pretty much the direction that the River Conservancy's comments should be addressing. Where in fact there are inconsistent land uses (TAPE BREAK) and what's been provided as part of this plan. And again the problem is that you have community plans that are already in existence and so it is kind of a difficult document to comment on. The role of the SDRC should be to make it very clear what it is that the SDRC does and the level of involvement as far as making consistency findings with the plan and opposed to making specific recommendations as to whether an area should be designated as a redevelopment area. I think they are quite different things. That is why I was trying to get clarity on what we are doing here. I think it is very appropriate for us to comment on environmental impact reports and how the SDRC can offer up suggestions and recommendations and point out areas where the proposal is not consistent with our particular task. To go much beyond that concerns me.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

I just want to say that the Redevelopment Plan has to be consistent with the community plans. So the Redevelopment Plan is not trying to change land uses at all. It just has to be consistent with the community plans. And the redevelopment plan is not trying to hold up anything regarding the park plan because we are following the community plan.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

I guess the challenge, as far as the appropriate action, as far as how we can provide you information about the consistencies with this particular organization versus the community plans. Because that is not really our role. Our role is to address the issues as it relates to the SDRC and where there might be inconsistencies in the environmental document or failure to address issues that need to be addressed or inadequate analysis or incomplete analysis or inaccurate analysis.

Tracy Reed, Redevelopment Agency:

I understand.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

That is just how I see it.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

Given the importance of this to our mission, to really stay on top of this we will need to have Susan start going to RAC meetings. That is Deborah's call not mine. We

need to be paying close attention so that when there are inconsistencies between the San Diego River Master Plan vision, the Community Plan and the Redevelopment Plan that these things aren't happening when we are busy doing other things.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

And that is exactly the opportunity, and I don't know how much of an extension of time you have asked for and been given, in order to comment on this and spend the time necessary, I would say that you are going to need at least 30 days or longer. It is something that is not that simple.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

My comment is only slightly different. I agree with Donna. This issue will still evolve. Things are never final final. There should be someone from the Conservancy who is participating in this process so when specific plans come along, there is someone who is watching it. Someone should be attending those meetings and know what is going on. Then when there are inconsistencies we can intervene early on. So it doesn't happen, like it did on this Wetlands Project, after it was all designed that they forgot to put a path in it. I am just saying that this is a big opportunity area and we should be watching it. So if you are become a student of this area, you will salivate when you go to these meetings because of the opportunity which exists. At least going to the meetings so we know what is going on.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

Motion to accept report from Deborah Jayne and add to that the extension to allow adequate time to comment about the EIR and the consistencies with the San Diego River Park Master Plan. To be aware of what is going on in the best way that that should be handled.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

Leave to staff discretion how to participate. Attending a meeting or meeting with staff.

Jim Peugh, Board Member:

It is fine to say that we want it consistent with the River Plan, but there wasn't a lot of intense hydrology analysis when we put the River Plan together. So I would hope that our comments should address the function of the River, that we don't do any public investment which will preclude enhancing the river as far as its capability to carry water. Because we know that upstream there is going to be development in the County too, and so the amount of water the River carries now doesn't necessarily represent the amount of water it will carry in the future. I see Sorrento Creek written all over this. And I just don't want to see us investing huge amounts of private money and then discover later that a stream or even the River itself is no longer able to carry it. And then so doing draconian flood management and saying "we have no other option". I just don't want to see us putting ourselves in a position where we have no other option. So I just hope that some kind of words about making sure that we are not reducing the capability that the river needs for the future.

Donna Frye, Vice-Chair:

And that, I think, and Deborah Jayne can probably help me on this, but I think when we talk about the beneficial uses, and some of those other issues, that that is in the Conservancy's enabling legislation. There are issues related to flooding and that the goal is not to channelize the river. It was broad language, but I remember that we put that in there. And I think that would talk about all the functions that you are talking about as specifically related to the beneficial uses. And I think that would probably get us there. Because I agree with you. I absolutely agree with you so just the consistencies with what the role of the conservancy is.

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

All in favor of passing the motion say "aye"

Dick Murphy, Chairman:

Passes unanimously.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **3**

SUBJECT: **PUBLIC COMMENT**

PURPOSE: Any person may address the Governing Board at this time regarding any matter within the Board's authority which is not on the agenda. Submission of information in writing is encouraged. Presentations will be limited to three minutes for individuals and five minutes for representatives of organizations. Presentation times may be reduced depending on the number of speakers.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **4**

SUBJECT: **CHAIRMAN'S AND GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER'S
COMMENTS**

PURPOSE: These items are for Board discussion only and the Board will
take no formal action.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **5**

SUBJECT: **EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**
(Deborah Jayne)

PURPOSE: This item is for Board discussion only and the Board will take no formal action. The following topics may be included on the Executive Officer's Report:

- i) Executive Officer's Correspondence
- j) California Performance Review
- k) Federal Appropriation of San Diego River
- l) Dennis Machida, California Tahoe Conservancy Executive Officer
- m) Helix Water District Tour of Cedar Creek Falls
- n) KPBS Special "River Roars Back"
- o) Executive Officer's Activities
- p) Board Member Terms of Office
- q) Board Member Stipends
- r) Board Member Travel Reimbursements

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

- a) Support Letter from Deborah Jayne to Chris Zirkle, City of San Diego, Development Services Department, dated March 17, 2005 regarding Famosa Slough.
- b) "Governor to Ditch Board Cuts". The Sacramento Bee. February 17, 2005.
- c) Federal Transportation Program Reauthorization
- d) Dennis Machida: "Tahoe Conservancy leader dies at conference: Dennis Machida dies at research conference". Tahoe Daily Tribune. March 8, 2005.
- e) Directions to Helix Water District Administrative Offices
- f) KPBS Special "River Roars Back" Announcement

The Executive Officer's Report will be included in the supplemental mailing.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **6**

SUBJECT: **DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL'S REPORT**
This item is for Board discussion only and the Board will take
no formal action.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: 7

SUBJECT: **2004 ACCOMPLISHMENTS**
Attached is a report highlighting the Conservancy's accomplishments for 2004.

PURPOSE: Information item. This item is included to keep the Board apprised of the accomplishments for 2004.

DISCUSSION: The SDRC's 2004 Accomplishments Report is attached as Supporting Document 1.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: San Diego River Conservancy 2004 Accomplishments

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Report.

San Diego River Conservancy 2004 Accomplishments

1. First Projects / Governing Board Actions

1. Acquisition of Eagle Peak Preserve (in headwaters)
2. Restoration of Mission Valley Preserve (adjacent to estuary)
3. Construction of Bicycle Pathway (Ocean Beach to Mission Valley)
4. Pursuit of Six Priority Acquisitions in City of San Diego
5. San Diego River Wetlands Creation Project

The Governing Board recently voted to “formally endorse” and recommend the expenditure of Proposition 40 funds for the first three projects above. The Board found each project to meet the purposes and priorities of the San Diego River Conservancy Act and found each to be a high priority element of the San Diego River Park. The Conservancy is partnering with the San Diego River Park Foundation on the first project and with the City of San Diego on the remainder. With the Board’s recommendation, the Foundation and the City of San Diego are now applying to the Resources Agency for Proposition 40 River Parkways funds earmarked for the San Diego River. The City of San Diego also requested and received the Governing Board’s “informal support” to move forward with (1) negotiations / investigations on six additional priority acquisitions; and (2) implementation of a Wetlands Creation Project proposed by the City as mitigation for sanitary sewer maintenance.

2. Five-Year Infrastructure Plan

The Conservancy is currently developing its Five-Year Infrastructure Plan. The four-fold purpose of the Plan is to (1) direct the Conservancy’s course for the next five years; (2) define and establish Conservancy Programs, Projects, and Priorities; (3) estimate and document the Capital Outlay needed to achieve the Conservancy’s mission over the next five years; and (4) provide the basis for the Conservancy’s future request for a Capital Outlay line-item and the funding needed to achieve its mission. The Plan is being funded by the Conservancy’s reappropriated FY 03/04 remaining Support Budget. Progress to date includes:

- Currently in process of securing consultant services;
- Governing Board agrees to plan type and “programmatic” (rather than land use master plan) approach;
- Background research has been completed (e.g., review of Strategic Plans and policy documents of other agencies, etc.)
- Considerable informal consultation with other agencies and Strategic Planning experts.

3. Eight Pre-Proposals (Grants or Federal Appropriations)

The Conservancy has submitted the following eight pre-proposals for capital outlay funds:

1. **Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project Pre-Proposals**
 - a) San Diego River Revolving Fund Project
 - b) San Diego River Acquisitions Project
 - c) San Diego River Estuary Hydrologic Assessment Project
 - d) San Diego River Estuary Restoration Project

2. Proposition 50 Integrated Regional Water Management Project Pre-Proposals

- a) San Diego River Area Acquisitions
- b) San Diego River Area Restorations
- c) San Diego River Area Improvements

3. Federal 2006 Appropriations Pre-Proposal

- a) San Diego River Park Acquisitions (The Conservancy is partnering with the USDA Forest Service on the acquisition of 5-10 strategic parcels in the headwaters / Cleveland National Forest.)

4. GIS-Based Parcel Inventory

The Conservancy has secured its first GIS-based Parcel inventory of its entire 52-mile jurisdiction. Consisting of aerial photos, maps, and a parcel profile database, the inventory was designed specifically for, and gifted to, the Conservancy by the San Diego County Assessor, Recorder and Clerk.

5. Key Planning / Policy Documents

Numerous key planning and policy documents have been under development by the Conservancy's major partners for the past few years and are final or nearly final (e.g., City of San Diego's Draft Master Plan for San Diego River Park; San Diego River Park Conceptual Plan; County's San Diego River Watershed Management Plan; Santee's River Park Plan; and Lakeside's River Park Conceptual Plan, etc.). Staff has reviewed and submitted extensive comments on most of these documents. The Governing Board has been briefed on and has "accepted" these planning documents as the starting point for the Conservancy's Five-Year Infrastructure Plan. In addition, the Conservancy is currently providing comments on a significant proposed redevelopment project along the San Diego River (Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR).

6. Introductory Presentations / Community Outreach / Tours, etc.

The Executive Officer has initiated an outreach effort to introduce the Conservancy to its key partners and the community, share its mission, and ask for support. This effort consists of a series of presentations, formal and informal meetings, media coverage, and a series of watershed tours for the Governing Board and public. Outreach efforts to date include Local Agencies; San Diego River Park Foundation; elected officials and staff; Mission Valley Community Council; San Diego River Coalition; Lakeside's River Park Foundation; land owner groups; San Diego River Watershed Workgroup; nonprofit and stakeholder groups; USDA Forest Service / Cleveland National Forest; US Department of Interior / National Park Service; US Environmental Protection Agency; Trust for Public Lands; local land brokers and various consultants; all other state conservancies; The Nature Conservancy; San Diego County Bar Association; and the San Diego Environmental Professionals Group, etc. This process is ongoing and many more strategic contacts are planned.

7. **\$240,000 Settlement Award**

The Conservancy anticipates receiving \$240,000 of a recent settlement agreement between the City of San Diego and the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board. The check will be made payable to the Conservancy for the purpose of providing funding for water quality related projects within the San Diego River Watershed. The agreement will settle the City's liability for unauthorized discharges from the sanitary sewer to waters of the State, including the San Diego River.

8. **Preservation of Unused FY 03/04 Support Budget**

The Conservancy, with the support of the Resources Agency, Department of Finance, and the local state legislators, was successful in preserving its unused FY 03/04 Support Budget. The funds were reappropriated for use in FY 04/05 and are being utilized to develop (and subsequently implement) the Conservancy's Five-Year Infrastructure Plan. The Conservancy also requested and was granted an extension of the deadline for encumbrance and expenditure of the Proposition 40 River Parkways Funds earmarked for the San Diego River and included in the Resources Agency budget.

9. **Executive Officer**

The Conservancy's Governing Board hired its first Executive Officer in late April 2004.

10. **State Agency Set-up, Delegation of Authority, Office Facility, Equipment, Supplies**

The Conservancy has established the administrative and functional framework for a new state agency; adopted a Delegation of Authority for its Executive Officer; secured office facilities, basic equipment, and supplies; and initiated an upgrade of its website.

11. **Executive Assistant**

The Executive Officer hired an Executive Assistant (Associate Governmental Program Analyst classification) in late December 2004. The search process included consideration of 60 qualified applicants (from as far away as Washington DC); eight initial interviews and three follow-up interviews.

12. **Draft Planning of Mission / Goals**

The overall mission of the San Diego River Conservancy is to protect and restore the San Diego River for the enjoyment of present and future generations. Pursuant to its enabling statute, the Conservancy was created for a wide range of specific purposes including to provide, restore, protect, and enhance: open space, wildlife habitat and species, wetlands, water quality for all beneficial uses, natural floodwater conveyance, cultural resources, public access and recreation, and educational and scientific opportunities. The mission, which includes the development of a 52-mile long San Diego River Park and Trail, will be accomplished through the acquisition and management of strategic public lands (or conservation easements). The San Diego River Park Trail will connect with the California Coastal Trail, Trans-County Trail, and many other important regional and local trails.

As stated above, the Conservancy is in the early stages of establishing a programmatic approach to achieving its mission in which a Program is developed around each statute objective and implemented through a series of prioritized projects.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
 April 8, 2005

ITEM: 8

SUBJECT: **FY 05/06 PROPOSED BUDGET**
 Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-01*) approving the Conservancy’s proposed FY 05/06 budget and expenditure report. (*Deborah Jayne*)

PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-01. This agenda item provides an opportunity for the Board to review and approve the proposed FY 05/06 budget.

DISCUSSION: The overall budget for a state-chartered Conservancy typically has two major components or “line-items”: (1) the Support Budget; and (2) the Capital Outlay Budget. The Support Budget pays staff salaries, Board Member stipends, and all Conservancy operations (e.g., travel, equipment, supplies, lease, utilities, postage, consulting and professional services, etc). The Capital Outlay Budget is used to acquire, restore, or develop property or implement related projects.

As you know, the San Diego River Conservancy currently does not have Capital Outlay funds or even a line-item established to receive future Capital Outlay funds.

STATE BUDGET (Environmental License Plate Fund)

	FY 03/04	FY 04/05	FY 05/06
Baseline Support Budget	\$265,000	\$269,000	\$274,000
One-time Reappropriation of FY 03/04 Support Budget		\$221,000 ¹	
Encumbered Funds			\$221,000 ²
Capital Outlay Reimbursement			\$0 ³

¹ FY 03/04 Support Budget of \$265,000, minus \$44,000 total FY 03/04 expenditures

² This amount will be supplemented with the unspent balance of the FY 04/05 support budget.

³ This line item includes \$500,000 of reimbursement authority.

Total	\$265,000	\$490,000	\$495,000 ⁴
-------	-----------	-----------	------------------------

Support Budget

As shown above, the Conservancy’s state budget consists entirely of Support dollars (i.e., current year’s annual baseline support budget plus reappropriated or encumbered unspent support dollars from previous years).

The annual baseline Support Budget can be incrementally adjusted in either direction. The proposed baseline Support Budget of \$274, 000 for FY 05/06 includes a small incremental adjustment (from \$269,000 in FY 04/05).

There are two new line items shown for FY 05/06. The first, “Encumbered Funds”, represents the amount the Conservancy anticipates encumbering in an Interagency Agreement with the State Coastal Conservancy. The Encumbered Funds item will include both the Conservancy’s \$221,000 one-time reappropriation of its FY 03/04 Support Budget (currently shown) and the unspent balance of its FY 04/05 Support Budget (which is estimated to be approximately \$200,000 and is not currently shown).

Capital Outlay Reimbursement Budget

The proposed Capital Outlay Reimbursement line-item has been requested pursuant to the attached Finance Letter for Budget Year 05/06 (**Supporting Document 4**). Establishment of the Capital Outlay line item is necessary to allow the Conservancy to receive Capital Outlay Funds in the future. The Finance Letter also requests “Reimbursement Authority” of \$500,000 to allow the Conservancy to receive funds from other state entities as appropriate through Interagency Agreements for project-related funding.

OTHER FUNDING SOURCES

Remaining Proposition 40 River Parkway Funds (in Resource Agency Budget)	\$7.8 million
Special Account: City of San Diego Settlement	\$240,000

The “Other Funding Sources” table above documents the \$7.8 million balance of the original \$12 million appropriation earmarked for the San Diego River and contained in the

⁴ This amount will be supplemented with the unspent balance of the FY 04/05 support budget.

Resources Agency's budget. The entire \$7.8 million is in Proposition 40 River Parkway Funds.

In addition, the Conservancy anticipates receiving a \$240,000 award from the City of San Diego. See agenda item 9.

Supporting Document 3 provides the proposed FY 05/06 Operating Expenses and Equipment schedule. This schedule shows projected expenditures but does not include the \$221,000 to be encumbered (FY 03/04 reappropriated Support Budget) which will be used for development and early implementation of the Conservancy's Five-Year Infrastructure Plan. The Five-Year Infrastructure Plan will serve as the basis / justification for the Conservancy's future requests for Capital Outlay funding as needed to achieve our mission.

The Conservancy's entire budget is supported solely by the state's Environmental License Plate Fund (and contains no General Fund support).

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

**SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:**

1. Tentative Resolution 05-01
2. Governor's Proposed FY 05/06 Budget
3. Proposed Supplementary Schedule of Operating Expenses and Equipment (OE&E) FY 05/06
4. Capital Outlay Finance Letter FY 05/06

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-01.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-01

Proposed FY 05/06 Budget and Expenditure Report

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby approves the proposed FY 05/06 Budget as described in the accompanying Executive Officer Summary Report (April 8, 2005 Agenda Item 8) and Supporting Documents (*Governor's Proposed FY 05/06 Budget*; and *Supplementary Schedule of Operating Expenses and Equipment [OE&E]*). The Board further authorizes the Executive Officer to expend the budget as described therein.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: 9

SUBJECT: **ACCEPTANCE OF \$240,000 FROM CITY OF SAN DIEGO / STATE OF CALIFORNIA SETTLEMENT**
Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-02*) authorizing the Executive Officer to accept \$240,000 from the City of San Diego. This amount represents partial fulfillment of a larger settlement agreement between the City of San Diego and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region for sewage discharges. (*Deborah Jayne*)

PURPOSE: To make the Board aware of the pending \$240,000 award to the Conservancy from the City of San Diego. Consider adoption of tentative Resolution 05-02 authorizing the Executive Officer to accept the \$240,000 award.

DISCUSSION: The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region and the City of San Diego (City) have entered into a settlement agreement to cover the City's liability for illicit sewage discharges between February 2001 to October 2004. Under the terms of the settlement, the City of San Diego will pay the State of California a total of \$1.2 million.

Of that \$1.2 million, \$240,000 will be payable directly to the San Diego River Conservancy for the purpose of providing funding for water quality related projects within the San Diego River Watershed.

The Executive Officer is currently working with the State Coastal Conservancy and the Department of Finance to establish a special account designed to receive the \$240,000 award.

Tentative Resolution 05-02 authorizes the Executive Officer to accept the award, but does not give direction on how the funds should be spent.

The Executive Officers of the Regional Board and the Conservancy are currently discussing alternatives for the funds including the potential development of a comprehensive Geospatial Information Management System to store and manage records, information, and data. At a future Board Meeting, the Executive Officer will present alternatives to the Board and make a recommendation on how the funds should be spent.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: Increases the Conservancy's overall budget by \$240,000.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. Tentative Resolution 05-02
2. Settlement Agreement between the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region and the City of San Diego
3. City of San Diego Adopted Resolution (R-300091)
4. "City to Pay \$1.2 million in Sewage Settlement". San Diego Union Tribune. March 10, 2005.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-02.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-02

***Acceptance of \$240,000 from City of San Diego /
State of California Settlement***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to accept \$240,000 of a settlement agreement between the City of San Diego and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region. The Board authorizes the Executive Officer to deposit these funds into a special interest bearing account as described in the accompanying Executive Officer Summary Report (April 8, 2005 Agenda Item 9) and Supporting Documents (*Settlement Agreement and Release*; and *City of San Diego Adopted Resolution R-300091*).

The Board further authorizes the Executive Officer to expend the funds on “water quality related projects within the San Diego River Watershed” pursuant to the settlement agreement. The agreement will settle the City’s liability for unauthorized discharges from the sanitary sewer to waters of the State, including the San Diego River.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **10**

SUBJECT: **OUTREACH TO SAN DIEGO LEGISLATIVE DELEGATION**

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-03*) authorizing the Executive Officer to send a letter to the members of the San Diego legislative delegation. The purpose of the letter is to introduce the Conservancy, invite each member to participate in Conservancy Board meetings and activities, and offer each member a “standing item” on Conservancy Board meeting agendas for regular updates. (*Deborah Jayne*)

PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-03.

DISCUSSION: In order to initiate and maintain important relationships with key local, state and federal legislators, the Executive Officer seeks permission to contact the local offices to solicit regular participation in Board meetings and generate support for the Conservancy’s mission.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

1. Tentative Resolution 05-03
2. Staff Memo: Political Jurisdictions of the San Diego River (with maps attached)
3. Invitation to Helix Water District Cedar Creek Falls tour from Executive Officer to key legislators.
 - a) Assemblymember Lori Saldana
 - b) Senator Christine Kehoe
 - c) Councilmember Jim Madaffer
 - d) Congresswoman Susan Davis
 - e) Senator Denise Ducheny

- f) Congressman Duncan Hunter
- g) Congressman Darrell Issa

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-03.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-03

Outreach to San Diego Legislative Delegation

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to send a letter to the members of the San Diego legislative delegation. The purpose of the letter is to introduce the Conservancy and its mission, invite each member to participate in Conservancy Board meetings and activities, and offer each member a “standing item” on Conservancy Board meeting agendas for regular updates.⁵

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

⁵ The letter will also request a personal meeting between the Executive Officer and each legislator and appropriate staff. The Executive Officer will request (via letter and meeting) each member’s support for the Conservancy and its mission.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: 11

SUBJECT: **OUTREACH TO KEY PARTNERS / MAJOR LAND OWNERS, PLANNING AUTHORITIES, AND OTHER KEY STAKEHOLDERS**
Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-04*) authorizing the Executive Officer to send a letter to key partners / major landowners, planning authorities, and other key stakeholders. The purpose of the letter is to introduce the Conservancy, invite participation in Conservancy Board meetings and activities, and request early notification of potential development plans. (*Deborah Jayne*)

PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-04.

DISCUSSION: In an effort to increase awareness of the Conservancy and initiate long-term working relationships, the Executive Officer seeks permission to invite key partner and stakeholder participation in future Board meetings and activities. Building strong community support and trust is essential to achieving the Conservancy's mission.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: Tentative Resolution 05-04

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-04.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-04

***Outreach to Key Partners / Major Land Owners,
Planning Authorities, and Other Key Stakeholders***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to send a letter to key partners / major landowners, planning authorities, and other key stakeholders. The purpose of the letter is to introduce the Conservancy and its mission, invite participation in Conservancy Board meetings and activities, and request early notification of potential development plans.⁶

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

⁶ The letter will also request a personal meeting between the Executive Officer and key individuals and offer a group presentation, if appropriate. The Executive Officer will request (via letter, meeting, and presentations) each entity's support for the Conservancy and its mission.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

- ITEM: **12**
- SUBJECT: **PROPOSED INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT WITH STATE COASTAL CONSERVANCY**
Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-05*) authorizing the Executive Officer to enter into an Interagency Agreement with the State Coastal Conservancy. (*Deborah Jayne*)
- PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-05.
- DISCUSSION: Tentative Resolution 05-05 authorizes the Executive Officer to enter into an Interagency Agreement with the State Coastal Conservancy to facilitate its future support of the San Diego River Conservancy. The most important purposes of the Interagency Agreement include:
- (1) reimburse State Coastal Conservancy for ongoing administrative support;
 - (2) allow State Coastal Conservancy to contract with other entities for services required by San Diego River Conservancy (e.g., strategic planning, consultation, etc.);
 - (3) encumber remaining portions of reappropriated FY 03/04 Support Budget, approximately \$221,000;
 - (4) encumber remaining portions of unspent FY 04/05 Support Budget; and
 - (5) reimburse State Coastal Conservancy for potential program (non-administrative) support.
- LEGAL CONCERNS: The Interagency Agreement is currently being finalized by your Executive Officer and State Coastal Conservancy executives and attorney. The Interagency Agreement will also be reviewed by Jamee Jordan Patterson, Supervisor, Deputy Attorney General for the San Diego River Conservancy.
- FISCAL IMPACT: See discussion above.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS: Tentative Resolution 05-05

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-05.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-05

***Proposed Interagency Agreement with State Coastal
Conservancy***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to enter into an Interagency Agreement with the State Coastal Conservancy for the following purposes:

- (1) reimburse State Coastal Conservancy for ongoing administrative support;
- (2) allow State Coastal Conservancy to contract with other entities for services required by San Diego River Conservancy (e.g., strategic planning, consultation, etc.);
- (3) encumber remaining portions of reappropriated FY 03/04 Support Budget, approximately \$221,000;
- (4) encumber remaining portions of unspent FY 04/05 Support Budget; and
- (5) reimburse State Coastal Conservancy for potential program (non-administrative) support.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **13**

SUBJECT: **CULTURAL REOURCES: SAN DIEGO MISSION
WATERWORKS**

Bill White (Director of the California History and Culture Conservancy) will present an overview on the cultural resources associated with the San Diego Mission Waterworks, especially recently discovered segments of the flume. (*Bill White*)

PURPOSE: Information item. This item is included to inform the Board about the unique cultural resources that exist in a portion of the proposed Grantville Redevelopment area.

DISCUSSION: As requested by Chairman Murphy at the February 11, 2005 Board Meeting, Bill White (Director of the California History and Culture Conservancy) will make a presentation on the remains of the San Diego Mission Waterworks, including the recently discovered 1000 feet of Mission flume located near the end of Tierrasanta Blvd. Because this area is particularly rich in irreplaceable archeological resources, it is a very high priority for preservation.

The information Mr. White will present is especially important and time sensitive in light of the proposed Grantville Redevelopment plan. You will note on February 16, 2005 the Tierrasanta Community Council adopted Resolution 01-2005 formally requesting that the parcel at the end of Tierrasanta Blvd. be removed from the Grantville Redevelopment Area. (**See Agenda Item 14, Supporting Document 6**) It is my understanding that on March 28, 2005 the Grantville Redevelopment Advisory Committee (GRAC) voted to deny Tierrasanta's request for removal of the parcel from the Redevelopment Area.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. Maps of the flume (3)

Mr. White will distribute any additional supporting documents at the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Mr. White's report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

- ITEM: **14**
- SUBJECT: **GRANTVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT DRAFT EIR / PRESERVATION OF SAN DIEGO MISSION WATERWORKS**
Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-06*) (1) requesting adequate time for the Conservancy to complete its review of the Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR; (2) recommending the conduct of an area-wide hydrology assessment prior to redevelopment activities; and (3) authorizing the Executive Officer to work with appropriate parties to take immediate actions to preserve the remaining segments of the San Diego Mission flume and waterworks. (*Deborah Jayne*)
- PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of a tentative Resolution 05-06.
- DISCUSSION: **Background:**
On February 11, 2005 the Conservancy Governing Board unanimously voted to (1) direct its Executive Officer to develop and submit comments on the Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) dated December 13, 2004; and (2) request an extension of the comment period of at least 30 days or longer to allow adequate time for comment on the Draft EIR and on its consistency with the City of San Diego River Park Master Plan, the Conservancy's Enabling Statute, and other relevant documents. Although the Conservancy was not "officially" granted the requested extension, Ms. Maureen Ostrye (Acting Director of the City of San Diego's Redevelopment Agency) indicated at the February 11, 2005 Board Meeting that she heard the Governing Board's message loud and clear and would take it into consideration.
- On March 13, 2005, your Executive Officer submitted Draft Preliminary Comments on the Grantville Redevelopment

Project Draft Program EIR (**Supporting Documents 3 and 4**). Because the City's time schedule for moving the Grantville Redevelopment Project forward is extremely tight, we submitted Preliminary Draft Comments *in advance of completing our review* in order to make the City aware of our concerns as early as possible.

The Conservancy's Draft Preliminary Comments contain (1) a brief summary of the Conservancy's initial concerns based on a preliminary review of the Draft EIR (and relevant documents); and (2) verbatim transcript of the oral public comments made directly by the Governing Board members on February 11.

In its submittal to the City, the Conservancy emphasized that its preliminary draft comments represent a list of issues that staff has initial or potential concerns about and wishes to review in greater detail. Because the comments are preliminary (made before review is complete), the Conservancy reserved the right to refine, modify, and expand its comments. (It is likely that some concerns addressed in our preliminary comments will be developed further while others may fall off the list upon further review. In addition it is possible that new concerns may be identified upon closer examination.)

Finally, the Conservancy's Preliminary Draft Comments speak ***only to the adequacy of the environmental analyses*** contained in the Draft Program EIR. The comments do not address the relative merits of the Redevelopment Project itself (or whether or not the area should be designated as a redevelopment area).

On March 17, 2005 the City released its "*Responses to Comments and Final EIR*" on the Grantville Redevelopment Project. The Conservancy's comments were included in that document and a brief response was provided for each, see **Supporting Document 8**.

Tentative Resolution 05-06:

In the tentative Resolution 05-06 before you today, the Governing Board (1) requests adequate time for the Conservancy to complete its review and comment of the Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR; (2) recommends the conduct of an area-wide hydrology assessment prior to redevelopment activities; and (3) authorizes the Executive Officer to work with appropriate parties to take immediate

actions to preserve the remaining segments of the San Diego Mission flume and waterworks.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. Tentative Resolution 05-06
2. Letter from Deborah Jayne to Tracy Reed. March 13, 2005
3. San Diego River Conservancy Draft Preliminary Comments of Draft EIR, Grantville Redevelopment Project
4. Board Member Hector's supplemental comments on Draft EIR, Grantville Redevelopment Project
5. Summary of Public Resources Code 5024 regarding state agencies protection of cultural / archeological resources
6. Tierrasanta Community Council Resolution Number 01-2005
7. "The Role of the San Diego River in the Development of Mission Valley". The Journal of San Diego History. Spring 1971, Volume 17, Number 2.
8. "Responses to Comments" portion of the City of San Diego's Final Program EIR, Grantville Redevelopment Project, March 2005 (**will be sent in supplemental mailing**)

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-06.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-06

***Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR /
Preservation of San Diego Mission Waterworks***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby (1) requests adequate time for the Conservancy to complete its review and comment of the Grantville Redevelopment Project Draft EIR; (2) recommends the conduct of an area-wide hydrology assessment prior to redevelopment activities; and (3) authorizes the Executive Officer to work with appropriate parties to take immediate actions to preserve the remaining segments of the San Diego Mission flume and waterworks.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **15**

SUBJECT: **HELIX WATER DISTRICT PRESENTATION**
Mark Weston (General Manager of the Helix Water District) will present an overview of the San Diego River lands owned by the Helix Water District. The Board may take an action. *(Mark Weston)*

PURPOSE: This item is included to provide the Helix Water District the opportunity to inform the Conservancy about its important land holdings along the San Diego River.

DISCUSSION: This presentation initiates the Conservancy's involvement with one of our most important "key partners". It provides an opportunity for the Helix Water District to share its plans, concerns, and priorities related to the San Diego River. Furthermore, it provides an opportunity for the Governing Board to discuss strategies for coordinating with the District on common goals and future projects. The key question: How can we best work together?. On March 28, 2005, your Executive Officer met with Mr. Weston to discuss this and other issues.

The Helix Water District has submitted a letter to the Conservancy, dated October 29, 2004 (**Supporting Document 2**) requesting the Conservancy's support for the potential extension of the Cedar Creek Falls trail. Your Executive Officer has expressed the Conservancy's potential interest in partnering on the proposed trail project.

Mr. Weston's presentation will be greatly enhanced by the Conservancy's tour of Cedar Creek Falls presented by the Helix Water District Board of Directors on Saturday, April 9, 2005.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. Helix Water District Folder
2. Letter to Deborah Jayne from James Lewanski (Director, Helix Water District) dated October 29, 2005.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Mr. Weston's report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **16**

SUBJECT: **SDSU 2005 CAMPUS MASTER PLAN REVISION,
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT /
PROPOSED ADOBE FALLS DEVELOPMENT**

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-07*) authorizing the Executive Officer to prepare comprehensive comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Mr. W. Anthony Fulton (Director, Office of Facilities Planning and Management, SDSU) will provide an overview of SDSU's proposed 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision and Draft EIR, including the proposed residential development on the north side of Highway 8, surrounding the Adobe Falls portion of Alvarado Creek near its confluence with the San Diego River. (*W. Anthony Fulton*)

PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-07.

DISCUSSION: The proposed SDSU campus expansion plan has the potential to adversely effect the hydrologic and water quality characteristics of the San Diego River. Increased hardscaping and pollutant sources will result in an increase in the volume and velocity of the runoff as well as an increase in pollutant loading to Alvarado Creek and the San Diego River.

In particular, staff has concerns about the proposed residential development surrounding Adobe Falls. Adobe Creek is a significant tributary to the San Diego River and hence its protection is essential to the protection of the San Diego River. From a biological and cultural resources perspective, Adobe Falls and vicinity is an important and environmentally sensitive area (that is already heavily infested with non-native, invasive plant species) and is in need of restoration and preservation.

Furthermore, the proposed Adobe Falls development is upstream and in very close proximity to Subarea A of the

proposed Grantville Redevelopment Project. For this reason, the “cumulative” hydrologic and water quality impacts of the two projects (Grantville and Adobe Falls) on Alvarado Creek and the San Diego River will likely be significant and should be thoroughly assessed on an individual and combined basis.

In addition, according to local historians, there was an aqueduct and small dam at Adobe Falls associated with the mission irrigation system.

The Executive Officer has requested an extension of the public comment period which officially ended March 19, 2005. In order to accommodate the Conservancy’s public comment, Mr. Fulton has agreed to extend the comment period (for the Conservancy) through Monday, April 11, 2005.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. Tentative Resolution 05-07
2. “Introduction and Executive Summary” from Draft Environmental Impact Report, SDSU 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision
3. Compact Disk - Draft Environmental Impact Report, SDSU 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-07.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-07

***SDSU 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision, Draft
Environmental Impact Report / Proposed Adobe Falls
Development***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to prepare comprehensive comments on the *SDSU 2005 Campus Master Plan Revision* Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) including the proposed residential development on the north side of Highway 8, surrounding the Adobe Falls portion of Alvarado Creek near its confluence with the San Diego River.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **17**

SUBJECT: **PROPOSITION 50: INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-08*) authorizing the Conservancy's participation in the joint application San Diego County application for Proposition 50, Integrated Regional Water Management Program funds.
(*Deborah Jayne*)

This item will be included in the supplemental mailing.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-08

***Proposition 50: Integrated Regional Water
Management Program***

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to participate in the joint San Diego County application for Proposition 50, Integrated Regional Water Management Program funds.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **18**

SUBJECT: **FY 06 FEDERAL APPROPRIATION REQUEST**
Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-09*) authorizing the Conservancy to partner with Cleveland National Forest and the City of San Diego in a joint request for a FY 06 federal appropriation. (*Deborah Jayne*)

PURPOSE: Consideration and possible adoption of tentative Resolution 05-09.

DISCUSSION: Your Executive Officer has had several discussions with Mr. Andrew Poat and Mr. Brent Eidson (Director and Deputy Director respectively of the City of San Diego's Governmental Relations Department) to discuss potential federal appropriations and other issues. The Executive Officer has subsequently prepared a draft Federal Appropriations proposal to support San Diego River acquisitions.

On March 9, 2005 the City of San Diego Rules, Finance, and Intergovernmental Relations Committee adopted City staff's proposed list of Federal Appropriations Priorities and authorized its staff and consultants to advocate on behalf of the City for these adopted priorities. The Conservancy's acquisitions proposal was included on the list of Federal Appropriation Priorities adopted by the Rules Committee. This means the City will sponsor the Conservancy's proposal along with its own proposals.

The Conservancy's proposal requests \$500,000 in federal funds to acquire one or more properties in the headwaters of the San Diego River. These privately held "in-holdings" are located within the Cleveland National Forest and three are located immediately above the El Capitan dam. The proposed project represents a cooperative effort between the Conservancy, the City of San Diego, and the Cleveland National Forest. All three agencies consider (some or all of) the potential properties

to be “priority acquisitions” due to their water quality / watershed protection value.

Other potential acquisitions are located in the Wynola and Eagle Peak portions of the headwaters / Cleveland National Forest.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: Potential increase in Capital Outlay funding.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

1. Tentative Resolution 05-09
2. Potential FY 2006 Appropriations Project Request Pre-Proposal (*Final proposal is under development*)

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt tentative Resolution 05-09.

SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY

Tentative RESOLUTION 05-09

FY 06 Federal Appropriation Request

The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to work cooperatively with the City of San Diego and the Cleveland National Forest in a joint request for a FY 06 federal appropriation to support acquisitions of Forest Service “in-holdings” in the headwaters portions of the San Diego River.

I, Deborah S. Jayne, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the San Diego River Conservancy on April 8, 2005.

Deborah S. Jayne
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **19**

SUBJECT: **SAN DIEGO RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN, FINAL DRAFT**
Mark Carpenter (KTU&A) and Trish Boaz (Department of Planning and Land Use, County of San Diego) will present an overview of the San Diego River Watershed Management Plan, Final Draft. The Board may take an action. (*Mark Carpenter, Trish Boaz*)

PURPOSE: Information item. This item is included to provide an update to the Board on San Diego County's "San Diego River Watershed Management Plan".

DISCUSSION: Beginning in 2002, the County of San Diego (County) and the State Water Resources Control Board collaborated to fund a Watershed Work Group (WWP) to develop a Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the San Diego River Watershed.

The purpose of developing a watershed plan is to develop and implement land use policies, programs, and practices designed to protect all of the land, water, and biological and cultural resources, and associated beneficial uses in an entire watershed from anthropogenic activities.

The Watershed Work Group is comprised primarily of representatives from public agencies and non-profit organizations. Many public workshops have been held and local and regional land use and planning authorities were engaged in the process of developing the vision, supporting its goals and creating strategies to achieve those goals.

The presentation today will provide a brief overview and status update of the Watershed Management Plan.

In January 2005, the Executive Officer submitted written comments (on behalf of the Conservancy) on the November

2004 Draft Plan (**Supporting Document 2**). Many of these comments have been incorporated into the Final Draft.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

**SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:**

1. San Diego River Watershed Management Plan, Final Draft
2. Letter from Deborah Jayne to Elizabeth Giffen, dated January 5, 2005

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Mark Carpenter and Trish Boaz's report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **20**

SUBJECT: **TRANSNET MITIGATION FUNDS: PROCESS AND AVAILABILITY**

Craig Scott (TransNet Project Manager, SANDAG) will present an overview of the process, priorities, and availability of TransNet Mitigation Funds. The Board may take an action. *(Craig Scott)*

PURPOSE: Information item.

DISCUSSION:

Per the Board's request, Mr. Craig Scott (TransNet Project Manager, SANDAG) will provide an overview of the recently approved TransNet sales tax ordinance. His presentation will emphasize the Environmental Mitigation Program element of the ordinance and its potential availability to the Conservancy.

Last year, voters countywide approved Proposition A, the 40-year extension of TransNet, the county's half-cent sales tax for transportation improvements. The half-cent-on-the-dollar sales tax for transportation projects would generate an estimated \$14 billion over 40 years for the transportation improvement projects, which would require matching funds from state and federal sources.

An estimated \$850 million will be used to fund habitat-related environmental mitigation activities required in the implementation of the major highway, transit and regional arterial and local street and road improvements identified in the Regional Transportation Plan. Of this total, an estimated \$250 million is related to mitigation requirements for local transportation projects and an estimated \$600 million is related to mitigation requirements for the major highway and transit projects identified in the Regional Transportation Plan.

The intent is to establish a program to provide for large-scale acquisition and management of critical habitat areas and to create a reliable approach for funding required mitigation for future transportation improvements thereby reducing future

costs and accelerating project delivery. This approach would be implemented by obtaining coverage for transportation projects through existing and proposed multiple species conservation plans. If this approach cannot be fully implemented, then these funds shall be used for environmental mitigation purposes on a project by project basis.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTS:

1. TransNet Extension “Environmental Mitigation Program (EMP) Principles

Mr. Scott will distribute any additional supporting documents at the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Mr. Scott’s report.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **21**

SUBJECT: **ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS**

PURPOSE: This item is for minor administrative matters only and the Board will take no formal action.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **22**

SUBJECT: **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

PURPOSE: Following or any time during the meeting, the Governing Board may recess or adjourn to closed session to consider pending or potential litigation; property negotiations; or personnel-related matters. Authority: Government Code Section 11126(a), (c)(7), or (e).

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **23**

SUBJECT: **UPCOMING EVENTS**

- a) Cedar Creek Tour, Helix Water District Tour: April 9, 2005
- b) Earth Day: May 1, 2005. Balboa Park
- c) San Diego Riverfest: May 21, 2005. Various sites along the San Diego River.

State of California
San Diego River Conservancy

EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT
April 8, 2005

ITEM: **24**

SUBJECT: **ARRANGEMENTS FOR NEXT MEETING AND
ADJOURNMENT**

Friday, June 10, 2005

9:00 am to 11:30 am

Location: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
Office

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100

San Diego, California

(858) 467-2733

News Articles which mention the San Diego River

1. *More Roam at Mission Trails*
By Mike Lee
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 4, 2005

2. *Jail Expansion Plan Met With Opposition From Santee Leaders*
By Jose Luis Jimenez
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 6, 2005

3. *Weakened Trees Along SR 163 on Removal List*
By Jeff Ristine
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 26, 2005

4. *City Sinkhole Total Climbs to 13; Repair Estimate in the Millions*
By Terry Rodgers
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 25, 2005

5. *Murphy Declares State of Emergency After Storms*
Signonsandiego News Services
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 23, 2005

6. *Sniffing Out Pollution*
By Mike Lee
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 16, 2005

7. *TransNet II Drives Me Crazy*
By Rob Shupp
Voice of San Diego
March 8, 2005

8. *UCSD Jacobs School To Expand Its 'Teams in Engineering Service' Program*
By Doug Ramsey
UCSD News
February 10, 2005

News Articles of Interest

9. *The Future of San Diego's Fifth-Largest Industry, Farming, Can't Be Taken For Granted*
By Eric Larson and Janet Silva Kister
San Diego Union Tribune
February 18, 2005

10. *Determining Who Ultimately Owns Your Home*
By Samual Staley
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 23, 2005

11. *Creek Wreaking Havoc*
By Anne Krueger
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 3, 2005

12. *Supervisors: Border Fence Overrides Environmental Concerns*
By Gig Conaughton
North County Times
March 2, 2005

13. *Chargers Aim For Widespread Approval Of Stadium Plans*
By Ronald Powell
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 28, 2005

14. *Governor is Moving To Show That He's Pro-Environment*
By Tom Chorneau
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 17, 2005

15. *Hands Off The Coast, State Panel Warns Feds*
By Andy Furillo
The Sacramento Bee
March 22, 2005

16. *Piecing Together a Park*
By Elena Gaona
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 20, 2005

17. *Governor's Backers, Foes Scramble To Make Ballot*
By John Marelius and Ed Mendel
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 28, 2005

18. *Senate Democrats Float Package of Clean-Water Measures*
By Michael Gardner
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 10, 2005

19. *County Ready For Onset of Dry Season*
By Jose Luis Jimenez
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 28, 2005

20. *Lake Tahoe: Accord Reached on Valley, Thousands of Acres of Sierra Habitat Will Be Protected*
By Glen Martin
San Francisco Chronicle
March 10, 2005

21. *I-5 Widening, New Connector May Be Boon for Lagoon*
By Lola Sherman
San Diego Union-Tribune
March 2, 2005

22. *Development Seen As Danger to State Parks*
By Mike Lee
San Diego Union-Tribune
February 24, 2005

23. *San Jose Plan To Protect Habitat Falls Short*
By Dana Nichols
Stockton Record
March 10, 2005